IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA

WRIT PETITION NO. 430 OF 2006

SHRI S. SUGUNAN, CHICALIM, GOA. ....Petitioner

Versus

THE UNION OF INDIA THROUGH THE SECRETARY ....Respondent

Mr. G. Vijaychandran, Advocate for the Petitioner.
Mr. C. A. Ferreira, Assistant Solicitor General for the Respondent.

Coram:- DR. S. RADHAKRISHNAN & N. A. BRITTO, JJ.

Date:- 9th October, 2006

P.C.:-

Heard the learned Counsel for the petitioner and the learned Assistant Solicitor General for the respondent. The learned Assistant Solicitor General for the respondent has brought to our notice that the impugned Order dated 21-6-2006 could very well have been challenged before the Central Administrative Tribunal, even after the same being pointed out, the learned Counsel for the petitioner is not willing to withdraw the petition. We are not inclined to entertain this petition, as there is an efficacious alternative remedy available. Hence the petition stands dismissed.

DR. S. RADHAKRISHNAN, J.

N. A. BRITTO, J.

RD.